

MINUTES

**CITY OF WARR ACRES
SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING
TUESDAY
JULY 11, 2006
6:30 P.M.**

PURSUANT TO AUTHORIZATION OF THE WARR ACRES CITY CHARTER, SECTION 2-6, FIVE COUNCILMEMBERS HAVE GIVEN NOTICE THAT THERE WILL BE A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE WARR ACRES CITY COUNCIL.

1. The meeting was called to order at 6:35 p.m. Declaration of a quorum present was given.

ROLL CALL

PRESENT

Mayor Marietta Tardibono
Vice-Mayor Jeff Martinez
Councilman Jimmy Alexander
Councilman Walter Johnson
Councilman Mike Gossman
Councilman Rodney Nelson
Councilwoman Nancy Olson
Councilman Stewart Shapiro

ABSENT

Councilman Stan Street

2. Discussion on the Windtree case.

Councilman Nelson handed out packets that he had assembled. The packet contained copies of Ordinance 755 that vacated NW 52nd Terr. And a portion of NW Windtree Drive; a map of a portion of what is known as Windtree addition; the agenda item from the November 1, 1994 Council agenda; the minutes for the item from the November 1, 1994 Council meeting; a deed with a Oklahoma County Clerk stamp dated December 31, 1991 filed and a copy of the Public Notice stating that a Public Hearing would be held at the November 1, 1994 Council Meeting to vacate a portion of Windtree Drive and NW 52nd Terr. He wanted to make sure that Attorney Jernigan and Clerk Ramirez each received a copy. Councilman Nelson stated that he wanted to know who owns the common areas and who pays the taxes he said that he believes the city owns it all and that no one is paying taxes. Attorney Jernigan asked where the documents from the District Court were that finalized the action. He stated that before any of this would have been finalized there would have had to be paperwork filed in the District Court to close the property. He also asked where the bottom of the map was that showed a group of lots across 52nd Terr that the city owns. He said that the areas designated on the map with "A" are 25 foot fire lanes and are common

areas. He also stated that in the minutes from November 1, 1994 it has a list of streets but they are not in the Ordinance. Councilman Nelson stated that they were in the Title of the Ordinance and Attorney Jernigan said that they did not appear in the body of the Ordinance. He asked Councilman Nelson why he believed the council took this action in 1994 when they received the deed in 1991. Councilman Nelson stated that he felt it was done to retain all the easements and further the property and define what the city owns.

3. Adjournment.

Motion by Nelson, second by Martinez to adjourn. Poll vote: Alexander, yea; Johnson, yea; Nelson, yea; Gossman, yea; Martinez, yea; Shapiro, yea; Olson, yea; and Tardibono, yea.

Motion Passed Unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:43 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Pamela McDowell-Ramirez
City Clerk